Ce document a été mis en ligne par l'organisme FormaV®.

Toute reproduction, représentation ou diffusion, même partielle, sans autorisation préalable, est strictement interdite.

Pour consulter tous nos sujets d'annales et corrigés du Bac Général LLCER + SES, veuillez visiter :
bac-llcer-ses.fr/annales-sujets-et-corriges

BACCALAURÉAT GÉNÉRAL

ÉPREUVE D'ENSEIGNEMENT DE SPÉCIALITÉ

SESSION 2024

LANGUES, LITTÉRATURES ET CULTURES ÉTRANGÈRES ET RÉGIONALES

ANGLAIS MONDE CONTEMPORAIN

Jeudi 20 juin 2024

Durée de l'épreuve : **3 heures 30**

L'usage du dictionnaire unilingue non encyclopédique est autorisé.
La calculatrice n'est pas autorisée.

Dès que ce sujet vous est remis, assurez-vous qu'il est complet.
Ce sujet comporte 10 pages numérotées de 1/10 à 10/10.

Le candidat traite au choix le sujet 1 ou le sujet 2.
Il précisera sur la copie le numéro du sujet choisi.

Répartition des points

Synthèse	16 points
Traduction ou transposition	4 points

SUJET 1

Le sujet porte sur la thématique « Faire Société ».

<u>Partie 1</u> (16 pts)

Prenez connaissance du dossier proposé, composé des documents A, B et C non hiérarchisés et traitez <u>en anglais</u> le sujet suivant (500 mots environ) :

Taking into account their specificities, say what the documents show about language issues in Canada. Pay particular attention to political decisions and strategies, both past and present, and the perception of these issues by Canadians.


<u>Partie 2</u> (4 pts)

Traduisez en français le passage suivant du document C (l. 7-13) :

As a result, while the number of French speakers in Canada is rising, their weight relative to the total population is slowly decreasing. We have now reached the symbolically critical point where there are more allophones[1] (individuals whose mother tongue is neither English nor French) in Canada than there are French-as-mother-tongue individuals. These statistics do not fail to be mobilized by detractors of official languages, who often argue that French should not be getting special treatment in this country […].

[1] *se traduit par « allophones »*

Document A



www.officiallanguages.gc.ca, 2016

[1] 1969 law that made English and French the official languages of Canada

Document B

Enhancing the vitality of Francophone minority communities through immigration: A national strategy

We are committed to supporting the vitality of Francophone minority communities and increasing the proportion of French-speaking immigrants outside Quebec, working toward a target of 4.4% of all immigrants by 2023.

We have already introduced changes to temporary and permanent programs in order to attract French-speaking immigrants. […]

Building on this progress and sustained cooperation with numerous Canadian and international partners — including provinces, territories, employers and Francophone communities — our new Action Plan will increase investments by $40.8 million over five years to support a consolidated Francophone integration pathway and coordinated Francophone immigration policies and programs.

These actions will be part of a new overarching[1] Francophone Immigration Strategy that will be developed by Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada[2] (IRCC), in consultation with Francophone minority communities. As part of this strategy, we will continue our international promotion, communication and recruitment work. Together with language-testing organizations, we will increase the availability and reduce the cost of French language tests required for economic immigration.

"Action Plan for Official Languages – 2018-2023: Investing in Our Future",
Government of Canada, 2018

[1] global
[2] federal department in charge of immigration questions

Document C

Revising the Official Languages Act?

Our population has grown by a third in the past three decades, and much of that growth can be attributed to immigration. As of today, two out of five Canadians were not born in this country. While our immigration system favours speakers of both official languages, knowledge of English far outweighs knowledge of French among newcomers, which was spoken by only 2.82 percent of Canada's immigration intake in 2019.

As a result, while the number of French speakers in Canada is rising, their weight relative to the total population is slowly decreasing. We have now reached the symbolically critical point where there are more allophones (individuals whose mother tongue is neither English nor French) in Canada than there are French-as-mother-tongue individuals. These statistics do not fail to be mobilized by detractors of official languages, who often argue that French should not be getting special treatment in this country – forgetting a history of linguistic accommodation and compromise between French and English that predates Confederation[1] itself.

Second, Indigenous peoples mark another change in our society, not only from a demographic perspective, as they are the fastest growing segment of the population, but also because Canadians are paying more attention to their issues than ever before, and the protection and revitalization of their languages is an urgent issue.

Of the more than 70 indigenous languages that are spoken in Canada today, only three are considered to be in a relatively safe position, a sufficient number of speakers preventing their disappearance: Cree, Inuktitut, and Ojibway. All others are endangered or on the verge of extinction — a direct result of Canada's attempts at assimilation through colonial policies such as residential schools.[2] In 2019, the federal government adopted the Indigenous Languages Act. While this was a first step in the right direction, the act does not live up to some Indigenous peoples' expectations. For example, the Inuit made it clear that they wished for more than a recognition of their languages' existence but also for the development of government services in their language.

Policy magazine, December 16, 2020

[1] The British North American colonies joined together to form the Canadian Confederation in 1867.

[2] government-sponsored religious schools established to assimilate Indigenous children into Euro-Canadian culture

SUJET 2

Le sujet porte sur la thématique « Environnements en mutation ».

<u>Partie 1</u> (16 pts)

Prenez connaissance du dossier proposé, composé des documents A, B, C et D non hiérarchisés, et traitez <u>en anglais</u> le sujet suivant (500 mots environ) :

Taking into account their specificities and viewpoints, say what the documents show about the transformation of the city of Sheffield (UK). Pay particular attention to the successes and challenges, and how they impact the local and national political debates.

<u>Partie 2</u> (4 pts)

Traduisez en français le passage suivant du document A (l. 1-9) :

It is a city that was once described by George Orwell as the “ugliest town in the old world”.

In Sheffield, he wrote in 1936, “in whichever direction you look you see the same landscape of monstrous chimneys pouring forth smoke”.

But today, things are somewhat different: the South Yorkshire city has just been named the greenest in the UK.

Researchers from the University of Southampton ranked the country’s biggest 25 urban centres for their sustainability – and this one-time industrial powerhouse came out top.

Document A

Sheffield named as UK's most sustainable city

It is a city that was once described by George Orwell as the "ugliest town in the old world".

In Sheffield, he wrote in 1936, "in whichever direction you look you see the same landscape of monstrous chimneys pouring forth smoke".

But today, things are somewhat different: the South Yorkshire city has just been named the greenest in the UK.

Researchers from the University of Southampton ranked the country's biggest 25 urban centres for their sustainability – and this one-time industrial powerhouse came out top.[1]

Its wide-open spaces (a third of the city famously sits within the Peak District), millions of trees and a comparatively high volume of renewable energy production all helped the Steel[2] City top the table. […]

Douglas Johnson, Sheffield City Council's Green Party cabinet member for climate change, said: "It's nice to be recognised but, as a city, we now need to go further and faster to reach net-zero by 2030."

He added that hundreds of the city's least energy-efficient homes were currently being reinsulated[3] with government grants, while millions of pounds were being ploughed[4] into building and upgrading walking and cycling routes to connect areas right across the city.

The Independent, 30 October 2021

[1] The results were published in the Green Cities Report.
[2] *acier*
[3] to reinsulate: *refaire l'isolation*
[4] invested

Document B

Labour pledges to make Sheffield the Steel City once again

Ahead of her speech at Labour conference today, Rachel Reeves, the shadow chancellor,[1] announced that the party would set up a "National Wealth Fund" for the state to invest directly in projects like steel to create a return for taxpayers and rebuild industrial areas. […]

Sheffield has long been dubbed the Steel City of the UK, after spearheading the industrial revolution in the 19th century as the manufacturing centre of the country.

Through the 80s the city lost around 50,000 jobs in steel and engineering.

"The steel industry is the backbone of Britain," Ed Miliband, Labour's shadow climate change secretary,[1] told the *Yorkshire Post*.

"In contrast to a decade of neglect from the Tories, Labour will invest in our steel industry so it has a proud future producing clean, green steel.

"We will stand with steelworkers, unions and communities to put the industry on a path to lead the world.

"Labour's National Wealth Fund is about rebuilding our industrial strength to produce jobs and wealth for the people of Britain." […]

[The plan] aims to create long-term wealth in the UK by investing in national projects which could include battery factories. […]

The projects also include the world's largest hydrogen electrolyser plant and net-zero industrial clusters in every region of the country. […]

Labour argued that when public money is spent on these projects, British people would own a share of that wealth and benefit from any returns on investments. […]

Ms Reeves will argue […]: "That is a real plan for the climate. That is a real plan for growth. That is a real plan for levelling up.

"A zero carbon economy – made right here… made in Britain."

The Yorkshire Post, 26 September 2022

[1] Members of the Shadow Cabinet are spokespersons of the opposition in charge of examining and questioning the work of the government.

Document C

Sheffield – Europe's greenest city?

Martin Phipps [councillor for Sheffield Green Party] writes on the recent report about Sheffield being the UK's most sustainable city. […]

A third of Sheffield is within the Peak District national park, 60% is green space and we have 250 parks, woodlands and gardens plus 4.5 million trees – the most per person in Europe. We all benefit from this.

But the phrase "Europe's greenest city" has been used too often in the past by political leaders running Sheffield to muddy the waters[1] on climate emergency action. We have a massive head start and with more ambition could be one of the world-leading cities whose actions inspire others to follow.

Opportunities were missed, like fitting thousands of council homes with solar panels at no cost when the Feed In Tariff [programme] made this more financially viable. […]

The Grey to Green project in Castlegate[2] has shown what's possible. Cities of the future need to be clean, attractive places to visit for leisure. We should be creating safe, traffic-free, walking and cycling environments in city centres with electric buses and taxis accessible within walking distance.

We can't rely on current world or UK political leaders to take the urgent action needed to address the climate emergency. It's down to us to act in our communities while collectively pushing all councillors of all parties to embrace the clean and healthy future we need.

"Letter to the press", *Sheffieldgreenparty.org.uk,* 9 November 2021

[1] make a situation more confusing
[2] area in Sheffield city centre

Document D

Sheffield's Grey to Green Scheme



2015/16



2019

Nigeldunnett.com

[Nigel Dunnett, Professor of Planting Design and Vegetation Technology, University of Sheffield]

Copyright © 2027 FormaV®. Tous droits réservés.

FormaV® est une marque déposée auprès de l'INPI sous le numéro 5227897.

Ce document a été élaboré par FormaV® avec le plus grand soin afin d'accompagner chaque apprenant vers la réussite de ses examens. Son contenu (textes, graphiques, méthodologies, tableaux, exercices, concepts, mises en forme) constitue une œuvre protégée par le droit d'auteur.

Toute copie, partage, reproduction, diffusion ou mise à disposition, même partielle, gratuite ou payante, est strictement interdite sans accord préalable et écrit de FormaV®, conformément aux articles L.111-1 et suivants du Code de la propriété intellectuelle. Dans une logique anti-plagiat, FormaV® se réserve le droit de vérifier toute utilisation illicite, y compris sur les plateformes en ligne ou sites tiers.

En utilisant ce document, vous vous engagez à respecter ces règles et à préserver l'intégrité du travail fourni. La consultation de ce document est strictement personnelle.

Merci de respecter le travail accompli afin de permettre la création continue de ressources pédagogiques fiables et accessibles.